

Lawrence Spasojevich (LS 0945)
Imran Ansari (IA 6878)
Aidala, Bertuna & Kamins, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 5th Avenue
New York, New York 10036
Tele: (212) 486-0011
Email: ls@aidalalaw.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SHAWN ZAMBRI,

CASE NO.
1:20-cv-00749

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

OCEAN BREEZE PHARMACY, INC.
And SUKETU PATEL,

ECF Case,

Defendant(s).

Plaintiff, SHAWN ZAMBRI, by and through the undersigned attorneys, Lawrence Spasojevich, Esq. and Imran Ansari, Esq., hereby files this Complaint against Defendant(s), OCEAN BREEZE PHARMACY, INC. and SUKETU PATEL, (collectively "Defendant(s)"), and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff alleges that, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant(s): (1) unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate; (2) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (3) attorneys' fees and costs.

2. Plaintiff further alleges that, pursuant to the New York Labor Law ("NYLL"), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant(s): (1) unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate; (2) statutory penalties; (3) liquidated damages; (4) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (5) attorneys' fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1337 and 1343, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

4. Venue is proper in the EASTERN DISTRICT pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the conduct making up the basis of the complaint took place in this judicial district.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff, SHAWN ZAMBRI, is an adult resident of Richmond County, New York.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant, OCEAN BREEZE PHARMACY, INC., is a domestic business corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with a place of business located at 250 Buel Avenue, Staten Island, New York 10305.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Suketu Patel, is an owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Defendant, Ocean Breeze Pharmacy, Inc. , who participated in the day-to-day operations of Defendant, and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an "employer" pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and Regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §

791.2, as well as NYLL § 2 and the Regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Defendant.

8. At all relevant times, Defendant, Ocean Breeze Pharmacy, Inc., was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

9. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business operated by Defendant(s).

10. At all relevant times, Defendant(s) knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff the overtime wage rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in week in contravention of the FLSA and NYLL.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

11. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants from in or around March 2018 until in or around January 2020 as a pharmacy technician.

12. During his employment, Plaintiff worked approximately fifty-five (55) hours a week, Monday through Friday, 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and Saturday 12:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.

13. Plaintiff was compensated at \$34.38 an hour; however, at times was only compensated for forty (40) hours per week.

14. Plaintiff as not compensated at the full overtime rate for all hours worked over forty (40) in a work week.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant(s) did not utilize a time keeping device at the work place that accurately tracked hours worked by Plaintiff.

16. Defendant, SUKETU PATEL, is an individual who, upon information and belief, owns the stock of Ocean Breeze Pharmacy, Inc., owns Ocean Breeze Pharmacy, Inc., and manages and

makes all business decisions, including but not limited to, the decisions of what salary the employees will receive and the number of hours the employees will work.

17. Defendant, SUKETU PATEL, exercised control over the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment, in that SUKETU PATEL has and has had the power to: (i) hire and fire employees, (ii) determine rates and methods of pay, (iii) determine work schedules, (iv) supervise and control the work of the employees, and (v) otherwise affect the quality of the employees' employment.

18. Plaintiff was not properly compensated wages at the overtime wage rate for all hours worked over forty (40) in a work week.

19. Defendant(s) knowingly and willfully operated business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff wages for the hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week at the overtime wage rate in violation of the FLSA and NYLL and the supporting Federal and New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

20. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, and during the course of Plaintiff's employment, the Defendant(s) failed to maintain accurate and sufficient time records.

21. Defendant(s) did not provide Plaintiff with an accurate wage statement or summary, accurately accounting for the actual hours worked, and setting forth the hourly rate of pay and overtime wages.

22. Upon information and belief, this was done in order to disguise the actual number of hours the Plaintiff worked and to avoid paying the overtime wage for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in week.

23. Defendant(s) willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and the NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.

24. Plaintiff has been substantially damaged by the Defendant(s)' wrongful conduct.

COUNT 1

[Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act]

25. Plaintiff re-alleges and re-avers each and every allegation and statement contained in paragraphs "1" through "24" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

26. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendant(s) was/were and continue to be an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).

27. At all relevant times, Defendant(s) employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the FLSA.

28. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant(s) has/have had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.

29. Plaintiff was entitled to be paid for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in week at the overtime wage rate as provided for in the FLSA.

30. Defendant(s) failed to pay Plaintiff compensation in the lawful amount for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in week as provided for in the FLSA.

31. At all relevant times, each of the Defendant(s) had, and continue to have a policy and practice of refusing to pay Plaintiff for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in week in a work week at the overtime wage rate which violated and continues to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a)(1), 207(a)(1), and 215(a).

32. Defendant(s) knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA, as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in week at the overtime wage rate when they knew or should have known such was due and that non-payment of a overtime rate would financially injure Plaintiff.

33. Defendant(s) have failed to make, keep and preserve records with respect to each of its employees sufficient to determine the wages, hours and other conditions and practices of employment in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.A. §§ 201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 211(c) and 215(a).

34. Records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and the actual compensation paid to Plaintiff are in the possession and custody of the Defendant(s). Plaintiff intends to obtain these records by appropriate discovery proceedings to be taken promptly in this case and, if necessary, will then seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to set forth the precise amount due.

35. Defendant(s) failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of the rights under the FLSA.

36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant(s)' willful disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA.

37. Due to the intentional, willful and unlawful acts of the Defendant(s), Plaintiff suffered damages in an amount not presently ascertainable of unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate and, an equal amount as liquidated damages, and prejudgment interest thereon.

38. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT 2

[Violation of the New York Labor Laws]

39. Plaintiff re-alleges and re-avers each and every allegation and statement contained in paragraphs "1" through "38" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

40. The wage provisions of the NYLL apply to Defendant(s) and protect the Plaintiff.

41. Defendant(s), pursuant to their policies and practices, refused and failed to pay the earned wage at the overtime wage rate to Plaintiff for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in week.

42. By failing to compensate Plaintiff a wage for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in week at the overtime wage rate, Defendant(s) violated Plaintiff's statutory rights under the NYLL.

43. The forgoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the NYLL without a good or reasonable basis.

44. Therefore, Defendant(s) knowingly and willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in week in a work week at the overtime wage rate.

45. Due to the Defendant(s)' NYLL violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant(s) unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to NYLL § 663(1) et al. and § 198.

46. Plaintiff also seek liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL § 663(1).

COUNT 3

[Failure to provide a Wage Notice]

47. Plaintiff re-alleges and re-avers each and every allegation and statement contained in paragraphs "1" through "46" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

55. Due to Defendant(s)' willful violations of NYLL, Article 6, § 195(3), Plaintiff is entitled to statutory penalties of two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250.00) dollars each day that Defendant(s) failed to provide Plaintiff with wage statement and reasonable attorney's fees and costs as provided for by NYLL, Article 6 §198(1-d).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff request that this Court grant the following relief:

- (a) An award of unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate due under the FLSA;
- (b) An award of liquidated damages as a result of Defendant(s)' failure to pay wages at the overtime wage rate pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;
- (c) An award of unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate under the NYLL;
- (d) An award of liquidated damages and statutory penalties as a result of Defendant(s)' failure to pay wages at the overtime wage rate, failure to provide accurate wage notices, and failure to provide accurate wage statements pursuant to the NYLL;
- (e) An award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- (f) An award of costs and expenses associated with this action, together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and,
- (g) Such other and further relief as this Court determines to be just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
February 6, 2020

Respectfully submitted

By: _____

Lawrence Spasojeovich (LS 0945)

By: _____

Imran Ansari (IA 6878)